arXiv:1612.00681v2 [math.PR] 9 Dec 2016

Multitype branching processes evolving in i.i.d. random environment: probability of survival for the critical case

Vatutin V.A.,* Dyakonova E.E.†

Abstract

Using the annealed approach we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the survival probability of a critical multitype branching process evolving in i.i.d. random environment. We show under rather general assumptions on the form of the offspring generating functions of particles that the probability of survival up to generation n of the process initiated at moment zero by a single particle of type i is equivalent to $c_i n^{-1/2}$ for large n, where c_i is a positive constant. Earlier such asymptotic representation was known only for the case of the fractional linear offspring generating functions.

AMS Subject Classification: 60J80, 60F99, 92D25

Key words: Branching process; random environment; survival probability, harmonic function; change of measure

1 Introduction and main results

Branching processes in random environments (BPRE's) is an important part of the theory of branching processes. This model was introduced by Smith and Wilkinson [38] in 1969. Since then a lot of papers appeared analyzing various properties of this and more general models of BPRE's. As a result we now have a relatively complete description of the basic properties of many models of BPRE's under the annealed and quenched approaches. In particular, it became clear that the behavior of the single-type BPRE's is mainly determined be the properties of the so-called associated random walk constructed by the logarithms of the expected population sizes of particles of the respective generations. For instance, using the notion of the associated random walk it is easy to divide in a natural way the set of all single-type BPRE's into the classes of supercritical, critical and subcritical processes (see [6] for more detail). Moreover, attracting

*Department of Discrete Mathematics, Steklov Mathematical Institute, 8, Gubkin str., 119991, Moscow, Russia; e-mail: vatutin@mi.ras.ru

†Department of Discrete Mathematics, Steklov Mathematical Institute, 8, Gubkin str., 119991, Moscow, Russia; e-mail: elena@mi.ras.ru

known and proving new results for the ordinary random walk on the real line it is possible to prove a wide range of statements describing the long range behavior of the single-type BPRE's under the annealed and quenched approaches.

The obtained results include theorems concerning the asymptotic behavior of the survival probability of the processes up to a distant moment n, describing the distribution of the population size of the BPRE at moments $nt, 0 \leq t \leq 1$, given survival of the processes up to the moment n (see [1], [6] – [9], [14], [15], [24], [33], [40] – [43], [48], [49], [55]). In addition, limit theorems were proved which describe the trajectories of the critical and subcritical BPRE's attaining a high level (see [2] – [5]), include a number of large deviation type results (see [11] – [13], [16], [28], [29], [34], [35], [37]) and evaluate the rate of convergence to the limit of supercritical processes (see [30], [31]). The reader can find some other references and details in surveys [54], [50] and [56]. Thus, we have now a relatively complete description of the basic properties of the single-type BPRE's.

It is natural to try to prove analogues of the statements known for the single-type case for the multitype BPRE's. This is, however, in no way simple. The point is that the role of the associated random walk is played in this case by the logarithms of the norms of products of certain random matrices and many useful results known for ordinary random walks on the real line have no analogues in terms of $p \times p$ random matrices with $p \geq 2$. Still, there are several papers dealing with multitype BPRE's. We mention here only some of them: [10], [18], [19], [20] – [22], [32], [39], [44] – [47], [50] – [53], [57].

Quite recently an important step in describing the asymptotic behavior of the survival probability for the critical multitype BPRE under general conditions has been made in [36]. Using the ideas of papers [26], [18] and [27] the authors of [36] proved that the probability of survival up to a distant moment n has the order $n^{-1/2}$ for a wide class of multitype branching processes evolving in iid random environment. Moreover, they have established that if the offspring generating functions are fractional linear with probability 1 and the process is initiated at time zero by a single particle of type i, then the probability of survival of the process up to moment n behaves like $c_i n^{-1/2}$ as $n \to \infty$, where c_i is a positive constant. In the present paper we prove that the claims formulated in [18] and [36] for the survival probability of the multitype BPRE with fractional linear offspring generating functions are valid for more general classes of branching processes. Our prove is based on ideas contained in [18], [19], [26], [27] and [36].

To formulate our main result we need some standard notation for multidimensional vectors. In the sequence we usually make no difference in notation for row and column vectors. It will be clear from the context which form is selected.

Let $\mathbf{e}_j, j = 1, ..., p$, be the $p-$dimensional vector whose $j-$th component is equal to 1 and the others are zeros, $\mathbf{0} = (0, ..., 0)$ be the $p-$dimensional vector all whose components are zeros.

For $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,...,x_p)$ and $\mathbf{y}=(y_1,...,y_p)$ set

$$|\mathbf{x}|=\sum_{i=1}^{p}|x_i|,\quad (\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=\sum_{i=1}^{p}x_iy_i.$$

For a $p\times p$ matrix $A=(A(i,j))_{i,j=1}^{p}$, all whose elements are nonnegative, set

$$|A|=\sum_{i,j=1}^{p}A(i,j).$$

Denote $\mathbb{N}_0=\{0,1,2,...\}$ and let $\mathbb{N}_0^p$ be the set of all vectors $\mathbf{z}=(z_1,...,z_p)$ with non-negative integer-valued coordinates. Let, further, $\mathbb{J}^p$ be the set of all vectors

$$\mathbf{s}=(s_1,...,s_p),\quad ,0\le s_i\le 1,\ i=1,...,p.$$

For $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,...,s_p)\in\mathbb{J}^p$ and $\mathbf{z}=(z_1,...,z_p)\in\mathbb{N}_0^p$ set $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}}=\prod_{i=1}^{p}s_i^{z_i}$.

Denote by $(\mathbb{F},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}))$ the space of probability measures Φ on $\mathbb{N}_0^p$ with $\sigma-$algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F})$ of Borel sets endowed with the metric of total variation, and let $(\mathbb{F}_p,\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ be the $p-$times product of the space $(\mathbb{F},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}))$ on itself.

Let $\mathbf{F}=(F^{(1)},...,F^{(p)})$ be a random vector (a tuple of random measures) taking values in $(\mathbb{F}_p,\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_p))$, i.e., $\mathbf{F}$ is a measurable map of a probability space $(\Omega,\mathcal{A},\mathbf{P})$ in $(\mathbb{F}_p,\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_p))$. An infinite sequence $\Pi=(\mathbf{F}_0,\mathbf{F}_1,\mathbf{F}_2,...)$ of independent identically distributed copies of $\mathbf{F}$ is called a random environment and we say in this case that $\mathbf{F}$ generates Π.

Taking into account a one-to-one correspondence between probability measures and generating functions we associate with $\mathbf{F}=(F^{(1)},...,F^{(p)})$ generating Π a random $p-$dimensional vector $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s})=(f^{(1)}(\mathbf{s}),...,f^{(p)}(\mathbf{s}))$, whose components are $p-$dimensional (random) generating functions $f^{(i)}$ corresponding to $F^{(i)},1\le i\le p$:

$$f^{(i)}(\mathbf{s}):=\sum_{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{N}_0^p}F^{(i)}(\{\mathbf{z}\})\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}},\ \mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{J}^p. \tag{1.1}$$

In a similar way we associate with the component $\mathbf{F}_n=(F_n^{(1)},...,F_n^{(p)})$, $n\ge 0$, of the random environment Π a (random)vector of probability generating functions $\mathbf{f}_n(\mathbf{s})=(f_n^{(1)}(\mathbf{s}),...,f_n^{(p)}(\mathbf{s}))$, whose components are (random) multi-variate generating functions $f_n^{(i)}(\mathbf{s})$ corresponding to $F_n^{(i)},1\le i\le p$,

$$f_n^{(i)}(\mathbf{s}):=\sum_{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{N}_0^p}F_n^{(i)}(\{\mathbf{z}\})\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}},\ \mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{J}^p. \tag{1.2}$$

With this agreement in view we may formally rewrite (1.1) and (1.2) as

$$f^{(i)}(\mathbf{s})=\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{s}^{\xi_i}|\mathbf{f}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[s_1^{\xi_{i1}}\cdot\cdot\cdot s_p^{\xi_{ip}}|\mathbf{f}\right],\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{J}^p,$$

and

$$f_n^{(i)}(\mathbf{s})=\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{s}^{\xi_i(n)}|\mathbf{f}_n\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[s_1^{\xi_{i1}(n)}\cdot\cdot\cdot s_p^{\xi_{ip}(n)}|\mathbf{f}_n\right],\mathbf{s}\in\mathbb{J}^p,$$

where, given $(F^{(1)},...,F^{(p)}) = (\Phi^{(1)},...,\Phi^{(p)})$ the vectors $\xi_i = (\xi_{i1},...,\xi_{ip}), i = 1,...,p$, are distributed according to the measures $\Phi^{(i)}, i = 1,...,p$, and, given $(F_n^{(1)},...,F_n^{(p)}) = (\Phi_n^{(1)},...,\Phi_n^{(p)})$ the vectors $\xi_i(n) = (\xi_{i1}(n),...,\xi_{ip}(n)), i = 1,...,p$, are distributed according to the measures $\Phi_n^{(i)}, i = 1,...,p$, respectively.

In addition, we sometimes use $\Pi = (\mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, ...)$ for $\Pi = (\mathbf{F}_0, \mathbf{F}_1, \mathbf{F}_2, ...)$.

Let $(\mathbf{\Phi}_0, \mathbf{\Phi}_1, \mathbf{\Phi}_2, ...)$, where

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_n = (\Phi_n^{(1)}, \Phi_n^{(2)}, ..., \Phi_n^{(p)}) \in \mathbb{F}_p,\ n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$

be a realization of the random environment.

A sequence of random $p-$dimensional vectors $\mathbf{Z}(n) = (Z^{(1)}(n), ..., Z^{(p)}(n))$, $n = 0, 1, ...$, with non-negative integer-valued coordinates is called a $p-$type Galton-Watson branching process in random environment Π, if $\mathbf{Z}(0)$ is independent of Π and for all $n \geq 0$, $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, ..., z_p) \in \mathbb{N}_0^p$ and $\mathbf{\Phi}_0, \mathbf{\Phi}_1, ... \in \mathbb{F}_p$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z}(n+1) \mid \mathbf{Z}(n) = (z_1, ..., z_p), \Pi = (\mathbf{\Phi}_0, \mathbf{\Phi}_1, ...)) = \mathcal{L}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{j=1}^{z_i} \xi_i^{(j)}(n) | \mathbf{\Phi}_n\right), \tag{1.3}$$

where the tuple $\xi_i^{(1)}(n), \xi_i^{(2)}(n), ..., \xi_i^{(z_i)}(n), i = 1, ..., p$, consists of independent $p-$dimensional random vectors with non-negative integer-valued components. Besides, for each $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$ the random vectors $\xi_i^{(1)}(n), \xi_i^{(2)}(n), ..., \xi_i^{(z_i)}(n)$ are distributed according to the probability measure $\Phi_n^{(i)}$.

Relation (1.3) specifies a Galton-Watson branching process in random environment in which $Z^{(i)}(n)$ is treated as the number of type i particles in the $n-$th generation. Particles in the process evolve as follows. If the state of the environment at time n is $(\Phi_n^{(1)}, ..., \Phi_n^{(p)}) \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then each particles of type $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$ existing at this moment in the population produces offspring in accordance with the $p-$dimensional probability measure $\Phi_n^{(i)}$ and independently of the reproduction of other existing particles at time n and of the prehistory of the process. Thus, the component $Z^{(i)}(n+1)$ of the vector $\mathbf{Z}(n+1) = (Z^{(1)}(n+1), ..., Z^{(p)}(n+1))$ is equal to the number of type i particles among all direct descendants of the particles of the $n-$th generation.

In the sequel we assume that our BPRE is specified on a sufficiently reach probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$ and denote by $\mathbf{E}[\cdot]$ the expectation with respect to the probability measure $\mathbf{P}$.

Besides, we use the symbols $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{f}}$ for the expectations and probabilities given the offspring generating function $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}) = (f^{(1)}(\mathbf{s}), ..., f^{(p)}(\mathbf{s}))$, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{J}^p$, whose components are multivariate probability generating functions. We also use the symbols $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}_n}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{f}_n}$ for the expectations and probabilities given the environment $\mathbf{f}_n$.

Set $m_{ij} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}}[\xi_{ij}]$ and $m_{ij}(n) := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}}[\xi_{ij}(n)], i, j = 1, ..., p$ and introduce the

corresponding mean matrices

$$M = M(\mathbf{f}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{p} := (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}}[\xi_{ij}])_{i,j=1}^{p},$$

$$M_n = M_n(\mathbf{f}_n) = (m_{ij}(n))_{i,j=1}^{p} := (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}_n}[\xi_{ij}(n)])_{i,j=1}^{p}, n \geq 0.$$

Along with random matrices M_n we use, for $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$ the Hessian matrices

$$B^{(i)} = B^{(i)}(\mathbf{f}) = \left(B^{(i)}(k,l)\right)_{k,l=1}^{p} := (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}}[\xi_{ij}(\xi_{ik} - \delta_{kj})])_{k,l=1}^{p},$$

$$B_n^{(i)} = B^{(i)}(\mathbf{f}_n) = \left(B_n^{(i)}(k,l)\right)_{k,l=1}^{p} := (\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}_n}[\xi_{ij}(n)(\xi_{ik}(n) - \delta_{kj})])_{k,l=1}^{p}$$

and let

$$\mu := \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left|B^{(i)}\right|, \qquad \eta := \frac{\mu}{|M|^2}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\mu_n = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left|B_n^{(i)}\right|, \qquad \eta_n = \frac{\mu_n}{|M_n|^2}. \tag{1.5}$$

We define the cone

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p : x_i \geq 0 \text{ for any } i = 1, ..., p\},$$

the sphere

$$\mathbb{S}^{p-1} = \{\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p, |\mathbf{x}| = 1\},$$

and the space $\mathbb{X} = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$. To go furhter we need to consider the general linear semigroup S^+ of $p \times p$ matrices all whose elements are non-negative and endow the semi-group with the L_1 norm denoted also by $|\cdot|$. For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ and $A \in S^+$ we specify the projective action as

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot A := \frac{\mathbf{x}A}{|\mathbf{x}A|}$$

and define on the product space $\mathbb{X} \times S^+ = \{(\mathbf{x}, A)\}$ a function ρ by setting

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, A) := \ln |\mathbf{x}A|.$$

For $A_1, A_2 \in S^+$ the function meets the so-called cocycle property

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, A_1 A_2) = \rho(\mathbf{x} \cdot A_1, A_2) + \rho(\mathbf{x}, A_1).$$

The measure $\mathbf{P}$ introduced above for the multitype BPRE specifies the respective probability measure on the Borel σ-algebra of the semi-group S^+ which we also denote by $\mathbf{P}$, i.e., for a Borel subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq S^+$ we set

$$\mathbf{P}(M \in \mathcal{B}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{f} : M = M(\mathbf{f}) \in \mathcal{B}).$$

Keeping in mind this agreement we introduce a number of assumptions to be valid throughout the paper. These assumptions are taken from [36] and concern only the properties of the restriction of $\mathbf{P}$ to the semi-group S^+.

Condition H1. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\int_{S^+} |M|^{\varepsilon_0} \mathbf{P}(dM) < \infty$.

Condition H2. (Strong irreducibility). The support of $\mathbf{P}$ in S^+ acts strongly irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^p$, i.e. no proper finite union of subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^p$ is invariant with respect to all elements of the multiplicative semi-group generated by the support of $\mathbf{P}$.

Condition H3. There exists a real positive number $b > 1$ such that the elements of the matrix $M = M(\mathbf{f}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^p$ meet the condition

$$\frac{1}{b} \leq \frac{m_{ij}}{m_{kl}} \leq b$$

for any $i, j, k, l \in \{1, ..., p\}$.

It is know (see [17]) that under Conditions H1-H3 there exists a unique $\mathbf{P}$-invariant measure $\mathbf{v}$ on $\mathbb{X}$ such that, for any continuous function φ on $\mathbb{X}$,

$$(\mathbf{P} * \mathbf{v})(\varphi) := \int_{S^+} \int_{\mathbb{X}} \varphi(\mathbf{x} \cdot M) \mathbf{v}(d\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{P}(dM) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{v}(d\mathbf{x}) =: \mathbf{v}(\varphi).$$

Moreover, if we denote by $R_n := M_0 M_1 ... M_{n-1}$ the right product of random matrices $M_k, k \geq 0$, then (see [25]), given

$$\mathbf{E}[\max(0, \ln |M|)] < \infty \tag{1.6}$$

the sequence

$$\frac{1}{n} \ln |R_n|, n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

converges $\mathbf{P}$-a.s. to a limit

$$\pi := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{E}[\ln |R_n|]$$

called the upper Lyapunov exponent and, under Conditions H1-H3 (see [17])

$$\pi = \int_{S^+} \int_{\mathbb{X}} \rho(\mathbf{x}, M) \mathbf{v}(d\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{P}(dM).$$

We introduce two more conditions.

Condition H4. The upper Lyapunov exponent of the distribution generated by $\mathbf{P}$ on S^+ is equal to 0.

Condition H5. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(M \in S^+ : \text{ for any } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}, \ln |\mathbf{x}M| \geq \delta\right) > 0.$$

Now we are ready to formulate the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1 *Assume Conditions $H1-H5$. If*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{X}}\mathbf{E}\left[\frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}M|}\right]<\infty \tag{1.7}$$

and, for η given in (1.4) and some $\varepsilon>0$

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\eta^{1+\varepsilon}\right]<\infty, \tag{1.8}$$

then, for any $i\in\{1,...,p\}$ there exists a real number $\beta_i\in(0,\infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt{n}\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{Z}(n)\neq\mathbf{0}|\mathbf{Z}(0)=\mathbf{e}_i\right)=\beta_i. \tag{1.9}$$

This theorem refines the main results of [36] where relation (1.9) was proved under the assumption that the offspring generating functions are fractional linear and where it was shown for the general case that if there exists a constant $C>0$ such that, for any $i,j,k\in\{1,...,p\}$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}}\left[\xi_{ik}(n)(\xi_{il}(n)-\delta_{kl})\right]\leq C\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{f}}\left[\xi_{ik}\right]<\infty \qquad \mathbf{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

then, for any $i\in\{1,...,p\}$ there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1\leq\sqrt{n}\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{Z}(n)\neq\mathbf{0}|\mathbf{Z}(0)=\mathbf{e}_i\right)\leq c_2$$

for all $n\geq 1$.

Theorem 1 also complements the respective theorem from [47] where the asymptotic expression for the probability $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{Z}(n)\neq\mathbf{0}|\mathbf{Z}(0)=\mathbf{e}_i\right)$ is found for the general form of the offspring distributions of particles of different types under the following hypotheses:

*A*1) the projection to $\mathbf{P}$ on S^+ is concentrated on a subset $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{u})=\{M\}\subset S^+$ of matrices having a common nonrandom positive right eigenvector $\mathbf{u}, |\mathbf{u}|=1$, corresponding to their maximal (in absolute value) eigenvalues

$$M\mathbf{u}=\rho\mathbf{u}.$$

*A*2) The sequence $\Sigma_n=\ln\rho_0+...+\ln\rho_{n-1}$ generated by the sums of the logarithms of the maximal (in absolute value) eigenvalues of the random matrices $M_0,...,M_{n-1}$ satisfies the Doney-Spitzer condition:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{P}\left(\Sigma_n>0\right)=a\in(0,\infty).$$

In particular, the expectation $\mathbf{E}[\ln|M|]$ may not exist meaning that condition (1.6) may not be valid.

Besides, Theorem 1 complements the respective result from [19] where the asymptotic expression for the probability $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{Z}(n)\neq\mathbf{0}|\mathbf{Z}(0)=\mathbf{e}_i\right)$ was obtained for the general form of the offspring distributions of particles of different types under the following assumptions:

*A*1′) the projection of $\mathbf{P}$ to S^+ is concentrated on a subset $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{v})=\{M\}\subset S^+$ of matrices having a common nonrandom positive left eigenvector $\mathbf{v}, |\mathbf{v}|=1$, corresponding to their maximal (in absolute value) eigenvalues, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{v}M_n=\rho_n\mathbf{v}.$$

*A*2) The sequence Σ_n satisfies the Doney-Spitzer condition.

2 Auxiliary results

Let $\{\mathbf{X}_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a homogeneous Markov chain on $\mathbb{X}$ specified by the equalities

$$\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X} \text{ and } \mathbf{X}_n = \mathbf{x} \cdot R_n.$$

If the matrices M_n are i.i.d and subject to the probability law $\mathbf{P}$ then for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ and any bounded Borel function φ the transition probabilities of this chain are given by the formula

$$Q\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{S^+} \varphi(\mathbf{x} \cdot M) \mathbf{P}(dM).$$

For the subsequent arguments we need to consider a random process $\{S_n, n \geq 0\}$ defined for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ by the relations

$$S_0 = S_0(\mathbf{x}, a) := a, \ S_n = S_n(\mathbf{x}, a) := a + \ln |\mathbf{x} R_n|.$$

According to the cocycle property

$$S_n(\mathbf{x}, a) = a + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho(\mathbf{X}_k, M_k).$$

The sequence of pairs $(\mathbf{X}_n, S_n), n \geq 0$, is a Markov chain on $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R}$ whose transition probability $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ is specified as follows: for any $(\mathbf{x}, a) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R}$ and any bounded Borel function $\psi : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}\psi(\mathbf{x}, a) = \int_{S^+} \psi(\mathbf{x} \cdot M, a + \rho(\mathbf{x}, M)) \mathbf{P}(dM).$$

We denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_+$ the restriction of $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ to $\mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R}_*^+$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_+((\mathbf{x}, a), \cdot) = I\left\{\cdot \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R}_*^+\right\} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}((\mathbf{x}, a), \cdot).$$

For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ and $a > 0$ denote by $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}$ the probability measure and expectation on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ conditioned on the event $\{\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{x}, S_0 = a\}$.

Let

$$\tau := \min\{n \geq 1 : S_n \leq 0\}.$$

It is known (see Appendix in [36]) that if conditions H1-H5 are valid, then the function $h : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_*^+$ defined by

$$h(\mathbf{x}, a) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}[S_n; \tau > n]$$

is $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_+$- harmonic, i.e., for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ and $a > 0$ the equality

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}[h(\mathbf{X}_1, S_1); \tau > 1] = h(\mathbf{x}, a) \tag{2.10}$$

is valid.

Lemma 2 *If conditions H1-H5 are valid, then there exist constants $d > 0$ and $C < \infty$ such that for all $(\mathbf{x}, a) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R}_*^+$.*

$$\max\{0, a - d\} < h(\mathbf{x}, a) \leq C(1 + a) \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$1 + a \leq (d + 1)(1 + h(\mathbf{x}, a)). \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. For the case when the random walk $\{S_n,\, n \geq 1\}$ is constructed by the iid random matrices taken from $GL(p, \mathbb{R})$, the general linear group of $p \times p$ invertible matrices with respect to the ordinary matrix multiplication, and whose distribution satisfies conditions H1-H5, the inequalities (2.11) were established in Theorem 2.2 of [27]. The proof of (2.11) under our conditions for the semigroup S^+ follows the same line if one attracts the arguments included in Appendix of [36] (in fact, the upper estimate in (2.11) is estimate (8) in [36]). To check (2.12) it is sufficient to note that

$$a + 1 = a - d + d + 1 \leq d + 1 + h(\mathbf{x}, a) \leq (d + 1)(1 + h(\mathbf{x}, a)).$$

The lemma is proved.

The following important result was proved in [36]:

Theorem 3 *Assume Conditions $H1 - H5$. Then, for any $(\mathbf{x}, a) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R}_*^+$*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > n\right) \sim \frac{2}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi n}}\, h(\mathbf{x}, a)$$

as $n \to \infty$, where $\sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ is a constant. Moreover, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that, for any $(\mathbf{x}, a) \in \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{R}_*^+$

$$\sqrt{n}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > n\right) \leq c\,(1 + a) \tag{2.13}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 0$ be the $\sigma-$field generated by random variables

$$\mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, ..., \mathbf{f}_{n-1}, \mathbf{Z}(0), \mathbf{Z}(1), ..., \mathbf{Z}(n).$$

For each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ and $a > 0$ we introduce a new measure $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}$ on the $\sigma-$field $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \vee_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}_n$ as follows. For each $n \geq 0$ and each nonnegative random variable Y_n measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_n$ we let

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_n\right] := \frac{1}{h(\mathbf{x}, a)}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_n h(\mathbf{X}_n, S_n); \tau > n\right].$$

In view of (2.10), the new measure $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}$ or, what is the same $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{x},a}$, is well defined on $\mathcal{F}_\infty$.

The next statement is an analogue of Lemma 2.5 in [6].

Lemma 4 *Assume Conditions $H1-H5$. For $k\in\mathbb{N}$, let Y_k be a bounded real-valued $\mathcal{F}_k$-measurable random variable. Then, for any $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{X}$ and $a>0$, as $n\to\infty$*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_k|\tau>n\right]=\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_k\right]. \tag{2.14}$$

More generally, let $Y_1,Y_2,...$ be a uniformly bounded sequence of real-valued random variables adopted to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ which converges $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{x},a}$ - a.s. to some random variable Y_∞ . Then, as $n\to\infty$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_n|\tau>n\right]=\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_\infty\right]. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. We follow with minor changes the line of proving lemma 2.5 in [6]. Let

$$\mathbf{m}_{x,a}(n):=\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau>n\right).$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_k|\tau>n\right] &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau>n\right)}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_k;\tau>n\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau>n\right)}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_k\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{X}_k,S_k}\left(n-k\right);\tau>k\right].\end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem 3

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{X}_k,S_k}\left(n-k\right)}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau>n\right)}=\frac{h(\mathbf{X}_k,S_k)}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\quad\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\text{-a.s.}.$$

Using (2.13) and Lemma 2 we see that there exists a constant $C_0>0$ such that

$$\frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{X}_k,S_k}\left(n-k\right)}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau>n\right)}\le C_0\frac{1+S_k}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\le C_0(1+d)\frac{1+h(\mathbf{X}_k,S_k)}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}. \tag{2.16}$$

The estimate

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_kh(\mathbf{X}_k,S_k);\tau>k\right]=h(\mathbf{x},a)\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_k\right]<\infty$$

allows us to apply the dominated convergence theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_k|\tau>n\right] &= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_k\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{X}_k,S_k}\left(n-k\right)}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau>n\right)};\tau>k\right]\\ &= \frac{1}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_kh(\mathbf{X}_k,S_k);\tau>k\right]=\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_k\right],\end{aligned}$$

proving (2.14).

To check the validity of (2.15) fix $\gamma>1$ and observe that in view of Theorem 3

$$\lim_{\gamma\downarrow1}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau>n,\tau\le\gamma n\right)}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau>n\right)}\le C\lim_{\gamma\downarrow1}\left(1-\gamma^{-1/2}\right)=0$$

and, by (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_n - Y_k|\tau > \gamma n\right]\right| &\leq \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\left|Y_n - Y_k\right| \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{X}_n,S_n}\left(\left(\gamma-1\right)n\right)}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > \gamma n\right)}; \tau > n\right] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\left|Y_n - Y_k\right|\left(1 + h(\mathbf{X}_n, S_n)\right); \tau > n\right] \\ &= C\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\left|Y_n - Y_k\right|\right] + \frac{1}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > n\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant. Letting first $n \to \infty$ and then $k \to \infty$ the right-hand side vanishes by the dominated convergence theorem. Hence, using the first part of the lemma we see that

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_n; \tau > \gamma n\right] = \left(\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_\infty\right] + o(1)\right)\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > \gamma n\right).$$

Therefore, for some $C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\gamma\downarrow 1}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\left|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_n; \tau > n\right] - \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_\infty\right]\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > n\right)\right|}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > n\right)} \\ &\leq \lim_{\gamma\downarrow 1}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\left|\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_n; \tau > \gamma n\right] - \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[Y_\infty\right]\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > \gamma n\right)\right|}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > n\right)} \\ &\quad + C\lim_{\gamma\downarrow 1}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > n, \tau \leq \gamma n\right)}{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left(\tau > n\right)} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

Let $\mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{s}\right)$ be an offspring probability function. For matrices A and M from S^+ let

$$\psi_{\mathbf{f},A,M}(\mathbf{s}) := \frac{|A|}{|A\left(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{s}\right)\right)|} - \frac{|A|}{|AM\left(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{s}\right)|}.$$

Lemma 5 *If $|B^{(i)}(\mathbf{f})| < \infty$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ and matrix $M = M(\mathbf{f}) = (m_{kl})_{k,l=1}^p$ satisfies Condition H3, then, for any matrix $A = (a_{kl})_{k,l=1}^p \in S^+, |A| > 0$, and all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{J}\backslash\{\mathbf{1}\}$ the inequality*

$$\psi_{\mathbf{f},A,M}(\mathbf{s}) \leq bp^2\eta$$

is valid.

Proof. For $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{J}^p$ introduce a $p-$dimensional vector

$$\Delta_2\left(\mathbf{s}\right) := \left(\sum_{j,k}\frac{\partial^2 f^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{1}\right)}{\partial s_j \partial s_k}\left(1 - s_j\right)\left(1 - s_k\right), ..., \sum_{j,k}\frac{\partial^2 f^{(p)}\left(\mathbf{1}\right)}{\partial s_j \partial s_k}\left(1 - s_j\right)\left(1 - s_k\right)\right).$$

Observe that

$$\left|\Delta_2\left(\mathbf{s}\right)\right| \leq \mu\left|\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{s}\right|^2 \tag{2.17}$$

and, in view of Condition $H3$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p}\sum_{l=1}^{p} a_{ik}m_{kl} \geq \min_{k,l} m_{kl}\,|A| \geq \frac{|A|\,|M|}{bp^2}$$

implying

$$|AM\,(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s})\,| \geq \frac{|A|\,|M|}{bp^2}\,|\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s}|\,. \tag{2.18}$$

For a fixed $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{J}^p$ put

$$H\,(z) := \frac{|A\mathbf{f}\,(\mathbf{s}+z\,(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s}))\,|}{|A|},\ z \in [0,1]\,.$$

Clearly, $H\,(z)$ is a probability generating function in z with

$$H'\,(1) = \frac{|AM\,(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s})\,|}{|A|}, \quad H''\,(1) = \frac{|A\Delta_2\,(\mathbf{s})\,|}{|A|}.$$

It is known (see, for instance, Lemma 3 in [33] or Lemma 2.6 in [26]), that, for all $z \in [0,1)$

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{1-H\,(z)} - \frac{1}{H'\,(1)\,(1-z)} \leq \frac{H''\,(1)}{(H'\,(1))^2}.$$

Further, using simple algebra we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \quad &\leq \quad \psi_{\mathbf{f},A,M}(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{1-H\,(0)} - \frac{1}{H'\,(1)} \leq \frac{H''\,(1)}{(H'\,(1))^2} \\ &= \quad \frac{|A\Delta_2\,(\mathbf{s})\,|}{|A|}\frac{|A|^2}{|AM\,(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s})\,|^2} = \frac{|A||A\Delta_2\,(\mathbf{s})\,|}{|AM\,(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s})\,|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.17) and (2.18)

$$\frac{|A\Delta_2\,(\mathbf{s})\,|}{|AM\,(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s})\,|^2} \leq \mu\frac{|A|\,|\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s}|^2\,bp^2}{|A|^2\,|M|^2\,|\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s}|^2} = \mu\frac{bp^2}{|A|\,|M|^2}.$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq \psi_{\mathbf{f},A,M}(\mathbf{s}) \leq \mu\frac{bp^2}{|M|^2} = bp^2\eta,$$

as desired.

For $0 \leq k \leq n$ introduce the notation

$$\mathbf{f}_{k,n}\,(\mathbf{s}) := \mathbf{f}_k(\mathbf{f}_{k+1}(...(\mathbf{f}_{n-1}(\mathbf{s}))...)) \text{ with } \mathbf{f}_{n,n}\,(\mathbf{s}) := \mathbf{s}.$$

Corollary 6 *For any* $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ *and* $n \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1}-\mathbf{f}_{0,n}\,(\mathbf{0}))} \leq e^{-\ln|\mathbf{x}R_n|} + bp^2\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\eta_{k+1}e^{-\ln|\mathbf{x}R_k|}.$$

Proof. For $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_p) \in \mathbb{X}$ set $A = A(\mathbf{x}) := (a_{kl}(\mathbf{x}))_{k,l=1}^{p}$, where $a_{kl}(\mathbf{x}) = x_k\delta_{kl}$ and δ_{kl} is the Kronecker symbol. Simple algebra gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{s}))} &= \frac{1}{|A(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{s}))|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|AR_n(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{s})|} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{|AR_k|}{|AR_k(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{k,n}(\mathbf{s}))|} - \frac{|AR_k|}{|AR_{k+1}(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{k+1,n}(\mathbf{s}))|}\right) e^{-\ln|AR_k|} \\ &= e^{-\ln|AR_n(\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{s})|} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\psi_{\mathbf{f}_k, AR_k, M_k}(\mathbf{f}_{k+1,n}(\mathbf{s}))e^{-\ln|\mathbf{x}R_k|}. \qquad (2.19)\end{aligned}$$

Hence, setting $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0}))} &= e^{-\ln|\mathbf{x}R_n|} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\psi_{\mathbf{f}_k, AR_k, M_k}(\mathbf{f}_{k+1,n}(\mathbf{0}))e^{-\ln|\mathbf{x}R_k|} \qquad (2.20) \\ &\le e^{-\ln|\mathbf{x}R_n|} + bp^2\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\eta_{k+1}e^{-\ln|\mathbf{x}R_k|},\end{aligned}$$

as it was claimed.

The next statement is an analogue of Lemma 2.7 in [6] and generalizes to our setting Lemma 3.3 in [36].

Lemma 7 *If the conditions of Theorem 1 are valid then, for any* $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$ *and* $a > 0$

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\eta_{n+1}e^{-S_n}\right] < \infty. \qquad (2.21)$$

Proof. We follow the line of proving Lemma 3.3 in [36]. First observe that for any $\lambda \in (0,1)$ there exists a constant $C = C(\lambda)$ such that $(t+1)e^{-t} \le C(\lambda)e^{-\lambda t}$ for all $t \ge 0$. This estimate, the definition of $\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}$ and (2.11) justify the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_{n+1}e^{-S_n}\right] &= \frac{1}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_{n+1}e^{-S_n}h(\mathbf{X}_n, S_n); \tau > n\right] \\ &\le \frac{c}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_{n+1}e^{-S_n}(1+S_n); \tau > n\right] \\ &\le \frac{cC(\lambda)}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_{n+1}e^{-\lambda S_n}; \tau > n\right].\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\eta_{n+1}e^{-S_n}\right] &\le \frac{1}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_1 e^{-a}\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{cC(\lambda)}{h(\mathbf{x},a)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_{n+1}e^{-\lambda S_n}; \tau > n\right].\end{aligned}$$

Further, for any $\kappa, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le r \le \kappa$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_{n\kappa+r+1}e^{-\lambda S_{n\kappa+r}};\tau > n\kappa+r\right]\\&\quad\le \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[e^{-\lambda S_{n\kappa}};S_\kappa>0,S_{2\kappa}>0,...,S_{n\kappa}>0\right]\times\sup_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{X}}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y},0}\left[\eta_{r+1}e^{-\lambda S_r}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\Upsilon_\kappa(\mathbf{x},a)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[e^{-\lambda S_{n\kappa}};S_\kappa>0,S_{2\kappa}>0,...,S_{n\kappa}>0\right]$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_{n+1}e^{-\lambda S_n};\tau>n\right]\\\le\;&\sum_{r=0}^{\kappa}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_{r+1}e^{-\lambda S_r}\right]\\&\quad+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{r=1}^{\kappa}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[\eta_{n\kappa+r+1}e^{-\lambda S_{n\kappa+r}};S_\kappa>0,S_{2\kappa}>0,...,S_{n\kappa}>0\right]\\&\quad\le\sum_{r=0}^{\kappa}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},0}\left[\eta_{r+1}e^{-\lambda S_r}\right]\\&\quad+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x},a}\left[e^{-\lambda S_{n\kappa}};S_\kappa>0,S_{2\kappa}>0,...,S_{n\kappa}>0\right]\times\sup_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{X}}\sum_{r=1}^{\kappa}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y},0}\left[\eta_{r+1}e^{-\lambda S_r}\right]\\&\quad\le\left(\sup_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{X}}\sum_{r=0}^{\kappa}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y},0}\left[\eta_{r+1}e^{-\lambda S_r}\right]\right)(1+\Upsilon_\kappa(\mathbf{x},a)).\end{aligned}$$

Note that according to formula (4.2) in [36] for any $\delta>0$ there exists a positive integer $\kappa=\kappa(\delta)$ such that

$$\mathbf{P}^{*\kappa}\left(M:\;\ln|\mathbf{x}M|\ge\delta\text{ for any }\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{X}\right)>0.$$

Moreover, $\Upsilon_\kappa(\mathbf{x},a)<\infty$ for the κ.

Further, setting $\lambda=\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)$, where ε is the same as in (1.8), we deduce by Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y},0}\left[\eta_{r+1}e^{-\varepsilon S_r/(1+\varepsilon)}\right]&\le\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y},0}\left[\eta_{r+1}^{1+\varepsilon}\right]\right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}\left(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y},0}\left[e^{-S_r}\right]\right)^{\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)}\\&\le\left(\mathbf{E}\left[\eta_{r+1}^{1+\varepsilon}\right]\right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)}\left(\sup_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{X}}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{y},0}\left[e^{-S_1}\right]\right)^{r\varepsilon/(1+\varepsilon)}\end{aligned}$$

and the right-hand side is finite by conditions (1.7) and (1.8).

Combining the estimates above gives (2.21).

Having Lemma 7 in hands and using basically the arguments of [26] and [36] we are now ready to prove the main result of the note.

Proof of Theorem 1. For a fixed $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$ we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{Z}(n) \neq \mathbf{0} | \mathbf{Z}(0) = \mathbf{e}_i\right) &= \mathbf{E}\left[1 - f_{0,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{0})\right] \\ &= \mathbf{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0})\right)\right] \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0})\right); \tau > n\right] \\ &\quad + \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0})\right); \tau \leq n\right].\end{aligned}$$

In view of the inequalities

$$\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0}) \leq \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,k}(\mathbf{0}) \leq M_0 M_1 \cdots M_{k-1}\mathbf{1} = R_k \mathbf{1},$$

being valid for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ we have for a constant $C_1 \in (0, \infty)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0})\right); \tau \leq n\right] &\leq C_1 \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a}\left[\min_{0\leq k\leq n} |\mathbf{e}_i R_k \mathbf{1}|; \tau \leq n\right] \\ &= C_1 \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}_i,0}\left[\min_{0\leq k\leq n} |\mathbf{e}_i R_k \mathbf{1}|; \min_{0\leq k\leq n} \ln |\mathbf{e}_i R_k| \leq -a\right].\end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the right-hand side we use the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}_i,0}\left[e^{\min_{0\leq k\leq n} \ln |\mathbf{e}_i R_k \mathbf{1}|}; \min_{0\leq k\leq n} \ln |\mathbf{e}_i R_k| \leq -a\right] \\ &\quad \leq \sum_{j\geq a}^{\infty} e^{-j} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{e}_i,0}\left(-j < \min_{0\leq k\leq n} \ln |\mathbf{e}_i R_k| \leq -j+1\right) \\ &\quad \leq \sum_{j\geq a}^{\infty} e^{-j} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{e}_i,j}(\tau > n) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j\geq a}^{\infty} e^{-j}(j+1),\end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate follows from (2.13).

Note that the estimates above imply

$$\lim_{a\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{n} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a}\left[\left(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0})\right); \tau \leq n\right] = 0.$$

Set $\mathbf{f}_{k,\infty}(\mathbf{0}) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbf{f}_{k,n}(\mathbf{0})$. It is not difficult to deduce from Lemma 7 that $\ln |\mathbf{e}_i R_n| \to \infty \ \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a}-$a.s. for any $a > 0$. Recalling formula (2.20) we see that, as $n \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\left(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0})\right)} &\to \frac{1}{\left(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,\infty}(\mathbf{0})\right)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_{\mathbf{f}_k, AR_k, M_k}(\mathbf{f}_{k+1,\infty}(\mathbf{0})) e^{-\ln |\mathbf{e}_i R_k|}\end{aligned}$$

$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a}-$a.s., where now $A = A(\mathbf{e}_i) = (a_{kl})_{k,l=1}^p$ is a $p \times p$ matrix with $a_{ii} = 1$ and all other elements equal to zero. Thus, as $n \to \infty$

$$Y_n := \left(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0})\right) \to \left(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,\infty}(\mathbf{0})\right) \ := Y_\infty \ \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a} - \text{a.s.}$$

and, by Corollary 6 and Lemma 7

$$Y_\infty \geq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_{k+1} e^{-\ln|\mathbf{e}_i R_k|} \right)^{-1} > 0$$

$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a}-$a.s.. Since $0 \leq Y_n \leq 1$, applying Lemma 4 gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{n} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a} \left[Y_n; \tau > n \right] = \frac{2}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a} \left[Y_\infty \right] h(\mathbf{e}_i, a) > 0. \tag{2.22}$$

The left-hand side of (2.22) is increasing in a. Hence, the right-hand side of (2.22) is also increasing in a. Therefore, the limit

$$\beta_i := \lim_{a\to\infty} \frac{2}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \hat{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a} \left[Y_\infty \right] h(\mathbf{e}_i, a)$$

exists. It is finite in view of (2.22) and the estimate

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}_i,a} \left[(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{f}_{0,n}(\mathbf{0})) ; \tau \leq n \right] \leq \frac{CC_1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k\geq a}^{\infty} e^{-k}(k+1).$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{n} \mathbf{P} \left(\mathbf{Z}(n) \neq \mathbf{0} | \mathbf{Z}(0) = \mathbf{e}_i \right) = \beta_i.$$

Theorem 1 is proved.

References

[1] V.I. Afanasyev, Limit theorem for the critical branching process in random environment, *Discrete Math. Appl.,* 5:1 (1993), 45–58.

[2] V.I. Afanasev, Invariance Principle for the Critical Branching Process in a Random Environment Attaining a High Level, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 54:1 (2009), 3–17.

[3] V.I. Afanasev, About time of reaching a high level by a random walk in a random environment, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 57:4 (2012), 625–648.

[4] V.I. Afanasyev, High level subcritical branching processes in a random environment, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 282 (2013), 4–14.

[5] V.I. Afanasyev, Functional limit theorems for high-level subcritical branching processes in random environment, *Discrete Math. Appl.*, 24:5 (2014), 257–272.

[6] V.I. Afanasyev, J. Geiger, G. Kersting, V.A. Vatutin, Criticality for branching processes in random environment, *Ann. Prob.*, 33:2 (2005), 645–673.

[7] V.I. Afanasyev, J. Geiger, G. Kersting, V.A. Vatutin, Functional limit theorems for strongly subcritical branching processes in random environment, *Stoch. Process. Appl.,* 115 (2005),1658–1676.

[8] V.I. Afanasyev, C. Boinghoff, G. Kersting, V.A. Vatutin, Limit Theorems for Weakly Subcritical Branching Processes in Random Environment, *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 25:3 (2012), 703–732.

[9] V.I. Afanasyev, Ch. Boeinghoff, G. Kersting, and V.A. Vatutin, Conditional limit theorems for intermediately subcritical branching processes in random environment, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Probab. Statist.*, 50:2 (2014), 602–627.

[10] K.B. Athreya and S. Karlin, On branching processes with random environments: I,II. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 42:5 (1971), 1499–1520; 42:6 (1971), 1843–1858.

[11] V. Bansaye, J. Beresticki, Large deviations for Branching Processes in Random Environment, *Markov Process. Related Fields*, 15 (2009), 493–524.

[12] V. Bansaye, Ch. Böinghoff, Upper large deviations for Branching Processes in Random Environment with heavy tails, *Electron J. Probab.*, 16 (2011), 1900–1913.

[13] V. Bansaye, Ch. Böinghoff, Lower large deviations for supercritical branching processes in random environment, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 282 (2013), 15–34.

[14] V. Bansaye, V.Vatutin, On the survival probability for a class of subcritical branching processes in random environment, *Bernoulli*, 23:1 (2017), 58–88.

[15] Ch. Böinghoff, E. E. Dyakonova, G. Kersting, V. A. Vatutin, Branching processes in random environment which extinct at a given moment, *Markov Process. Related Fields*, 16:2 (2010), 329–350.

[16] Ch. Böinghoff, G. Kersting, Simulations and a conditional limit theorem for intermediately subcritical branching processes in random environment, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 282 (2013), 45–61.

[17] Ph.Bougerol, J.Lacroix. *Products of Random Matrices with Applications to Schrödinger Operators.* Birkhäuser, 1985.

[18] E.E. Dyakonova, Asymptotic behavior of the probability of non-extinction for a multitype branching process in random environment, *Discrete Math. Appl.*, 9:2 (1999), 119–136.

[19] E.E. Dyakonova, Critical multitype branching processes in a random environment, *Discrete Math. Appl.*, 17:6 (2007), 587–606.

[20] V. A. Vatutin , E.E. Dyakonova, Part to survival for the critical branching processes in a random environment, *J. Appl. Probab.*, 52:2 (2017) (in print), arXiv: 1603.03199

[21] E.E. Dyakonova, Reduced multitype critical branching processes in a random environment, *Discrete Math. Appl.*, 26:4 (2016), 58–79 (in Russian).

[22] E.E. Dyakonova, Multitype subcritical branching processes in a random environment, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 282 (2013), 80–89.

[23] E. E. Dyakonova, Limit theorem for multitype critical branching process evolving in random environment, *Discrete Math. Appl.*, 25:3 (2015), 137–147.

[24] E.E. Dyakonova, J. Geiger, V.A. Vatutin, On the survival probability and a functional limit theorem for branching processes in random environment, *Markov Process. Related Fields*, 10:2 (2004), 289–306.

[25] H.Furstenberg, H.Kesten, Products of random matrices, *Ann.Math.Statist.*, 31 (1960), 457–469.

[26] J. Geiger, G. Kersting, The survival probability of a critical branching process in random environment, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 45:3 (2000), 607–615.

[27] I.Grama, E. Le Page, M.Peigné, Conditional limit theorems for products of random matrices, *Probab. Theory Related Fields.* and arXiv: 1411.0423v2[math.PR], 2015

[28] I. Grama, Q. Liu, E. Miqueu, Berry-Esseen's bound and Cramer's large deviation expansion for a supercritical branching process in a random environment. *Stoch. Proc. Appl. 2016+, accepted.* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spa.2016.07.014

[29] C.Huang, Q.Liu, Moments, moderate and large deviations for a branching process in a random environment, *Stoch. Processes Appl.*, 122 (2012), 522–545.

[30] C.Huang, Q.Liu, Convergence in L_p and its exponential rate for a branching process in a random environment, *Electron. J. Probab.*, 19:104 (2014), 1–22.

[31] C.Huang, Q.Liu, Convergence rates for a supercritical branching process in a random environment, *Markov Processes and Related Fields*, 20 (2014), 265–285.

[32] N. Kaplan, Some results about multidimentional branching processes with random environments, *Ann. Prob.*, 2:3 (1974), 441–455.

[33] M.V. Kozlov, On the asymptotic behavior of the probability of non-extinction for critical branching processes in a random environment, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 21:4 (1976), 791–804.

[34] M. V. Kozlov, On large deviations of branching processes in a random environment: a Geometric distribution of the number of descendants, *Discrete Mat. Appl.*, 16: 2 (2006), 155–174.

[35] M. V. Kozlov, On large deviations of strictly critical branching processes in a random environment with geometric distribution of progeny,*Theory Probab. Appl.*, 54 (2010), 439–465.

[36] E. Le Page, M. Peigne, C.Pham, The survival probability of a critical multi-type branching process in i.i.d. random environment, https://hal-univ-orleans.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01376091v1

[37] X.Liang, Q.Liu, Weighted moments of the limit of a branching process in a random environment, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 282 (2013), 127–145.

[38] W.L. Smith, W. Wilkinson, On branching processes in random environment, *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 40:3 (1969), 814–827.

[39] D. Tanny, On multitype branching processes in a random environment, *Adv. Appl. Prob.*, 13:3 (1981), 464–497.

[40] V.A. Vatutin, E.E. Dyakonova, Galton-Watson branching processes in random environments, I: limit theorems, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 48:2 (2003), 314–336.

[41] V.A. Vatutin, E.E. Dyakonova, Galton-Watson branching processes in random environments, II: joint distributions, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 49:2 (2004), 275–308.

[42] V.A. Vatutin, E.E. Dyakonova, Branching processes in random environment and bottlenecks in the evolution of populations, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 51:1 (2006), 189–210.

[43] V.A. Vatutin, A.E.Kyprianou, Branching processes in random environment die slowly, in: Fifth Colloquium on Mathematics and Computer Science, September 22-26, Blaubeuren, Germany. DMTCS Proceedings Series Volume AG, pp. 379–400 (electronic: http://www.dmtcs-ojs/index.php/proceedings/issue/view/dmAG) 2008.

[44] V. Vatutin, A refinement of limit theorems for the critical branching processes in random environment, Workshop on Branching Processes and their Applications, *Lect. Notes Stat. Proc.*, 197, Part 1, Springer, Berlin, 2010, pp. 3–19.

[45] V. A. Vatutin, Polling systems and multitype branching processes in a random environment with final product, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 55:4 (2011), 631–660.

[46] V. A. Vatutin, Multitype branching processes with immigration in random environment, and polling systems, *Siberian Advances in Mathematics*, 21:1 (2011), 42–72.

[47] V. A. Vatutin, E. E. Dyakonova, Asymptotic properties of multitype critical branching processes evolving in a random environment, *Discrete Math. Appl.*, 20:2 (2010), 157–177.

[48] V. A. Vatutin, Total Population Size in Critical Branching Processes in a Random Environment, *Math. Notes*, 91:1 (2012), 12–21.

[49] V. Vatutin, X. Zheng, Subcritical branching processes in a random environment without the Cramer condition, *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122:7 (2012), 2594–2609.

[50] V. A. Vatutin, E. E. D'yakonova, S. Sagitov, Evolution of branching processes in a random environment, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 282 (2013), 220–242.

[51] V. Vatutin, E. Dyakonova, P. Jagers, S. Sagitov, A decomposable branching process in a Markovian environment, *International Journal of Stochastic Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 694285, 24 pages, In: Guest Editors: Charles J. Mode, Rick Durrett, Fima Klebaner, and Peter Olofsson.

[52] V. Vatutin, Q. Liu, Branching processes evolving in asynchronous environments, *Proceedings 59-th ISI World Statistics Congress*, August 2013, Hong Kong (Hong Kong, 25-30 August 2013), International Statistical Institute, The Hague, The Netherlands, 2013, 1744–1749.

[53] V. Vatutin, Q. Liu, Limit theorems for decomposable branching processes in a random environment, *J. Appl. Probab.*, 52:3 (2015), 877-893.

[54] V.A. Vatutin , A.M. Zubkov, Branching processes II, *J. Sov. Math.*, 67 (1993), 3407-3485.

[55] V.A. Vatutin , V. Wachtel, Sudden death of critical branching processes in random environment, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 54:3 (2010), 466–484 .

[56] V. Vatutin, Subcritical branching processes in random environment, in: Branching processes and their applications, Workshop on Branching Processes and their Applications, WBPA 2015 (Badajoz (Spain), 6–11 April, 2015), Lecture Notes in Statistics, 219, eds. I. M. del Puerto et al., Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, (2016), 97–115

[57] E. W. Weissener, Multitype branching processes in random environments, *J. Appl. Prob.*, 8:1 (1971), 17–31.